



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

07/20/88 497 08/13/88 AIR

ALDER, COHEN, AND GRICSEY, P.C.
2900 ONE TOWER
625 LIBERTY AVE.
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222

NGUYEN, H

235

6
11/30/89

☒ This application has been examined ☒ Responsive to communication filed on 12/22/88 ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 11-13 are pending in the application.
- Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☒ Claims 1-10 have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 11-13 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with Informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable. ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner. ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____, has been ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____

Application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

NOTION

Serial No. 07/206497

-2-

Art Unit 235

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. The Abstract of the Disclosure is objected to because it is not provided on a separate sheet. Correction is required. See M.P.E.P. § 608.01(b).

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The use of the term "methodology" through out the disclosure is not familiar. Perhaps applicant means --method--. On page 5, line 33, "Claims" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lightener'US/3718906.

See the abstract, figs. 1-2 and 9, col. 2 lines 15-36, col. 8 lines 15-67, col. 13 line 61 to col. 14 line 6, and col. 15

Serial No. 07/206497

-3-

Art Unit 235


lines 19-30 of Lightener.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Hughes'US/3990710 and Ogaki et al.'US/4654799 teach the duplication of information onto another medium at a vending site. Lockwood'US/4567359 teaches dispensing information from a remotely located processor. Geddes'US/4647989 teaches indicating and supplying money for a designated selection.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 557-4930.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 557-2878.



Hoa T. Nguyen
Examiner, Art Unit 235
November 15, 1989



STEVEN L. STEPHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 235